

## Simplified *ab-initio* Calculations for Molecular Systems

R. D. BROWN, F. R. BURDEN, and G. R. WILLIAMS

Department of Chemistry, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia 3168

Received December 18, 1969

A method is described for reducing a large part of the arithmetic of exact *ab-initio* SCF molecular-orbital calculations based on Slater-type-orbitals without noticeable loss of numerical accuracy. The procedure involves the transformation to Löwdin orthogonalized orbitals and then invoking the NDDO approximation. The three- and four-centre two-electron integrals required are estimated by a truncated Ruedenberg expansion. All one-electron integrals are evaluated exactly. No empirical parameters are employed. Numerical tests on CO, OF<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and ONF show that the NDDO approximation is very accurate for Löwdin functions and that the Ruedenberg expansion is arithmetically satisfactory for the SCF MO calculations.

Eine Methode zur Reduzierung eines großen Teiles der Rechnungen ohne merklichen Verlust an numerischer Genauigkeit in der exakten *ab initio* SCF-MO-Methode, die auf Slater-Orbitalen basiert, wird beschrieben. Das Verfahren beinhaltet die Transformation zu orthogonalen Orbitalen nach Löwdin und benutzt die NDDO-Näherung. Die erforderlichen Drei- und Vier-Zentrenintegrale werden mit Hilfe der abgebrochenen Ruedenberg-Entwicklung geschätzt. Alle Ein-Elektron-Integrale werden exakt berechnet. Keine empirischen Parameter werden benutzt. Numerische Untersuchungen für CO, OF<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> und ONF zeigen, daß die NDDO-Näherung sehr genau für Löwdin-Funktionen ist, und daß die Ruedenberg-Entwicklung numerisch zufriedenstellend für die SCF-MO-Rechnungen ist.

Description d'une méthode pour réduire une grande partie des calculs numériques d'une méthode SCF *ab initio* en orbitales de Slater, sans perdre pour autant trop de précision. On utilise une orthogonalisation de Löwdin suivie d'une approximation NDDO. Les intégrales biélectroniques tri et quadricentriques sont estimées par un développement tronqué de Ruedenberg. Toutes les intégrales monoélectroniques sont évaluées exactement. Aucun paramètre empirique n'est employé. Des essais numériques sur CO, OF<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> et ONF montrent que l'approximation NDDO est très précise pour les fonctions de Löwdin et que le développement de Ruedenberg est numériquement satisfaisant pour les calculs SCF MO.

### Introduction

A great variety of semi-empirical molecular orbital methods is available for theoretical studies of molecular properties [1]. However many applications have been inconclusive because the semi-empirical nature of the methods throws doubt on the reliability of numerical results. On the other hand *ab-initio* methods present formidable computing problems as soon as one proceeds beyond the smallest of molecules. The simple *ab-initio* method described here represents an attempt to reproduce with acceptable arithmetic accuracy the results of a corresponding exact *ab-initio* calculation but with considerable reduction of the computational task and without introducing any empirical parameters or procedures.

### Description of Method

*Procedure:* An orthonormal basis set constructed from a linear combination of Slater ( $\chi$ ) functions is employed in this method.

$$\lambda = \chi T \quad (1)$$

$\chi$  = row matrix of basis functions, where  $\lambda^\dagger \lambda = \mathbf{1}$  and  $T$  is a transformation matrix which may be written as the product of three other matrices:  $T = ABS_\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . The matrix  $A$  transforms the non-orthogonal Slater set to an orthogonal “atomic set”  $\phi$  for each atom by a Schmidt procedure. (For basis sets involving only 1s, 2s and 2p functions this matrix simply transforms the 2s function on each atom so that it is orthogonal to the 1s function on the same atom.)

The matrix  $B$  is a general transformation matrix which may be used to create a set of localized hybrid functions on each centre (if one prefers to work with hybrids), or to separate out the valence and inner shell orbitals if one wishes to consider them separately.

$S_\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is the Löwdin orthogonalization matrix of the set of “general functions”,  $\eta$ , produced from the Slater set by the operation of the matrices  $A$  and  $B$ .

Hence the overall transformation may be summarized

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \chi & \xrightarrow{A} & \phi & \xrightarrow{B} & \eta & \xrightarrow{S_\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}} & \lambda \\ \text{Slater} & & \text{atomic} & & \text{general} & & \text{orthogonal} \\ \text{set} & & \text{set} & & \text{set} & & \text{set} \end{array} .$$

In the present work we have however always proceeded directly from the atomic set to the orthogonal set, i.e. we have set  $B \equiv \mathbf{1}$ .

i) *One-Electron Integrals:* All overlap, kinetic energy and nuclear attraction integrals required for the SCF calculation are obtained without approximation, i.e. exactly in the Slater basis.

Thus the core matrix,  $H$ , is formed *exactly* in the  $\chi$ -Slater basis:

$$H_{ij}^\chi = K_{ij} - \sum_{\alpha}^{\text{atoms}} V_{ij}^\alpha \quad (2)$$

where

$$K_{ij} = \int \chi_i^* \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \right) \chi_j d\tau ,$$

$$V_{ij}^\alpha = \int \chi_i^* \frac{1}{r_\alpha} \chi_j d\tau .$$

The  $H^\chi$  is then transformed to the orthogonal  $\lambda$ -basis via the transformation:

$$H^\lambda = T^\dagger H^\chi T . \quad (3)$$

ii) *Two-Electron Integrals (repulsion integrals):* In the  $\lambda$ -basis our method invokes the NDDO (Neglect of diatomic differential overlap) approximation, i.e.

$$(\lambda_i^A \lambda_j^B | \lambda_k^C \lambda_l^D) = \delta_{AB} \delta_{CD} (\lambda_i^A \lambda_j^A | \lambda_k^C \lambda_l^C) \quad (4)$$

(where A, B, C, D refer to atomic centres).

The repulsion integrals in the  $\lambda$ -basis are related to the full set of integrals in the  $\chi$ -basis by:

$$(\lambda_i \lambda_j | \lambda_k \lambda_l) = \sum_{r,s} \sum_{t,u} T_{ri}^* T_{sj}^* (\chi_r \chi_s | \chi_t \chi_u) T_{tk} T_{ul} . \quad (5)$$

The principal feature of the method is that this transformation is greatly simplified so that explicit calculation of multicentre ( $\phi$  basis) integrals is unnecessary. This simplification is achieved as follows:

a) The  $\chi$ -basis “NDDO” integrals ( $\chi_r^A \chi_s^A | \chi_t^C \chi_u^C$ ) are transformed initially into the atomic basis  $\phi$ :

$$\langle \phi_i^A \phi_j^A | \phi_k^C \phi_l^C \rangle = \sum_{E, F}^{\text{atoms}} \sum_{r, s}^E \sum_{t, u}^F A_{ri}^* A_{sj}^* (\chi_r^E \chi_s^E | \chi_t^F \chi_u^F) A_{tk} A_{ul}. \quad (6)$$

This transformation may be carried out rapidly, since it merely involves a one-centre orthogonalization. Hence, most of the  $A_{ij}$  elements are zero and only the  $\chi$ -basis “NDDO” integrals are required. These integrals are calculated by standard methods.

b) The transformation from the  $\phi$ -basis to the  $\lambda$ -basis, which *does* require the knowledge of multicentre  $\phi$ -basis integrals, is simplified by the use of the Ruedenberg approximation [2] for the  $\phi$ -basis integrals. In matrix form this may be written as follows:

If

$$\Phi = \phi^\dagger \phi \quad (7)$$

then the truncated Ruedenberg approximation may be written:

$$\langle \Phi | \approx \frac{1}{2} [S_\phi \Phi^0 + \Phi^0 S_\phi], \quad (8)$$

where  $S_\phi$  is the overlap matrix for the  $\phi$ -basis and  $\Phi^0$  is a block diagonal matrix containing only one-centre overlap densities  $\phi_i^A \phi_j^A$ .

If we transform to the  $\lambda$ -basis:

$$\langle A | = \langle \lambda^\dagger \lambda | = \langle X^\dagger \Phi X | \quad \text{where } X = BS_\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (9)$$

and make use of the Ruedenberg approximation, we have:

$$\langle A | \approx \frac{1}{2} \langle X^\dagger S_\phi \Phi^0 X + X^\dagger \Phi^0 S_\phi X |. \quad (10)$$

We now define

$$u = X^\dagger S_\phi, \quad (11)$$

$$v = S_\phi X \quad (12)$$

so that

$$\langle A | \approx \frac{1}{2} \langle u \Phi^0 X + X^\dagger \Phi^0 v | \quad (13)$$

hence for a  $\lambda$ -basis repulsion integral we may write

$$(\lambda_i^A \lambda_j^A | \lambda_k^C \lambda_l^C) \approx \frac{1}{4} \sum_E^{\text{atoms}} \sum_F \left[ \sum_{p, q}^E \sum_{r, s}^F (u_{ip}^* X_{qj}^* + X_{pi}^* v_{qs}^*) (\phi_p^E \phi_q^E | \phi_r^F \phi_s^F) (u_{kr} X_{sl} + X_{rk} v_{st}) \right]. \quad (14)$$

It is evident that this expansion involves only  $\phi$ -basis “NDDO” integrals explicitly.

Because the Ruedenberg approximation for multicentre  $\phi$ -basis integrals is included directly into the transformation, the multicentre integrals are only *implicitly* calculated during the transformation, a process requiring little extra effort or time.

**F-Matrix:** Because of the use of the NDDO approximation, the formation of the  $F$ -matrix in the  $\lambda$ -basis is greatly simplified. This is due to the fact that instead of requiring a full set of about  $N^4$  repulsion integrals for each iteration, as in a full overlap calculation, only the NDDO integrals in the orthogonal basis are used (these generally represent only a minor fraction of the full set of about  $N^4$  integrals). Hence a considerable amount of computer time is saved.

The one- and two-centre  $F^\lambda$  elements are given by:

$$(F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{AA}})^\lambda = H_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + \sum_{\lambda,\sigma}^A P_{\lambda\sigma} \{(\lambda_\mu \lambda_\nu | \lambda_\lambda \lambda_\sigma) - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_\mu \lambda_\lambda | \lambda_\nu \lambda_\sigma)\},$$

$$(F_{\mu\nu}^{\text{AB}})^\lambda = H_{\mu\nu}^\lambda - \sum_{\lambda}^A \sum_{\sigma}^B P_{\lambda\sigma} (\lambda_\mu \lambda_\lambda | \lambda_\sigma \lambda_\nu).$$

### Numerical Performance

To examine the numerical performance of the method a series of trial calculations have been performed on small molecules, chosen because limited-basis-set STO *ab-initio* calculations are available for comparative purposes [3, 4]. Here we report results for CO, F<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>3</sub> and ONF. To facilitate comparisons, eigenvalues and expectation values for several one-electron operators are listed (Tables 1–6). The coordinate systems and geometries set out in [4] were used in the present calculations.

As an additional comparison, corresponding calculations have been carried through without transforming to the  $\lambda$ -basis and invoking the NDDO simplification in the case of CO and F<sub>2</sub>O. These “full overlap” calculations will be seen to yield numerical results very close to the orthogonal basis results, demonstrating that *the NDDO approximation for a  $\lambda$ -basis is arithmetically acceptable*. There appears to have been no previous direct numerical evidence on this point. The agreement for all one-electron operators and for the total energy is so good that for virtually all applications the NDDO simplification introduces no arithmetic error of practical significance.

A comparison of the results obtained by our simplified method with the arithmetically exact calculations indicates that the numerical error stemming from the use of the Ruedenberg integral approximation is acceptably small. Total energies agree to within about 0.2% except in the case of CO where it seems likely that the abnormally small internuclear distance and correspondingly larger overlap integrals lead to slightly poorer performance of the Ruedenberg approximation.

Some indication of the shapes of the various individual molecular orbitals may be gained by inspecting the one-electron operator mean values. It is noticeable that for valence shell molecular orbitals there are sometimes sizeable differences between the orbitals computed by our method and by the exact procedure. However the sum of mean values over orbitals belonging to the same irreducible representation remains in close agreement with the exact value (see Table 6). Moreover when there is only one orbital of a given symmetry type, e.g. 1a<sub>2</sub> for OF<sub>2</sub>, good agreement between the two procedures is observed. This suggests that in cases where the energy separation between two or more orbitals of the same

Table 1. Total electronic energies and expectation values of one-electron operators

	CO		F <sub>2</sub> O		O <sub>3</sub>		ONF Simplified (1)
	Simplified (1) <sup>h</sup>	Exact (2) <sup>i</sup>	Simplified (1)	Exact (2)	Simplified (1)	Exact	
$E$ (a.u.)	-112.75	-112.75	-112.33	-272.52	-272.43	-223.93	-228.24 - 227.71
$\langle 1/r \rangle$ (a.u.)	18.335 <sup>a</sup> 25.094 <sup>b</sup>	18.356 <sup>a</sup> 25.091 <sup>b</sup>	18.274 <sup>a</sup> 24.856 <sup>b</sup>	31.639 <sup>a</sup> 29.013 <sup>b</sup>	31.641 <sup>a</sup> 29.013 <sup>b</sup>	27.538 <sup>a</sup> 28.629 <sup>b</sup>	30.831 <sup>a</sup> 27.758 <sup>b</sup> 25.249 <sup>c</sup>
$\langle z \rangle$ (a.u.) <sup>d</sup>	17.310	17.224	16.823	29.581	29.589	29.542	20.432 24.343 24.511
$\langle r^2 \rangle$ (a.u.) <sup>f</sup>	37.36	37.28	39.18	119.61	119.58	122.19	103.30 6.982 <sup>e</sup> 7.305 <sup>e</sup>
$\langle x^2 \rangle$ (a.u.) <sup>f</sup>	7.23	7.24	7.05	86.80	86.80	88.52	75.53 79.24 80.35 77.68
$\langle y^2 \rangle$ (a.u.) <sup>f</sup>	7.23	7.24	7.05	9.28	9.28	9.28	9.31 9.31 9.31 9.13
$\langle z^2 \rangle$ (a.u.) <sup>f</sup>	22.89	22.80	25.09	23.53	23.50	24.40	18.45 19.00 21.07 18.62
$\mu(D)$	-0.64	-0.43	0.59	0.19	0.17	0.29	0.43 -0.47 0.78 0.32
$\sigma_d$ ppm <sup>g</sup>	325.4 <sup>a</sup> 445.5 <sup>b</sup>	325.8 <sup>a</sup> 441.2 <sup>b</sup>	324.4 <sup>a</sup> 445.4 <sup>b</sup>	561.6 <sup>a</sup> 515.0 <sup>b</sup>	560.3 <sup>a</sup> 511.9 <sup>b</sup>	488.8 <sup>a</sup> 512.5 <sup>b</sup>	488.3 <sup>a</sup> 508.2 <sup>b</sup> 448.2 <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Origins: C in CO; F in F<sub>2</sub>O; end O in O<sub>3</sub>; F in ONF.<sup>b</sup> Origin: O in CO, F<sub>2</sub>O and ONF, centre O in O<sub>3</sub>.<sup>c</sup> Origin: N in ONF.<sup>d</sup> Origins: C in CO; O in F<sub>2</sub>O; central O in O<sub>3</sub>; N in ONF.<sup>e</sup>  $\langle x \rangle$ .<sup>f</sup> Origin is centre of mass.<sup>g</sup>  $\sigma_d = 17.7497 \langle 1/r \rangle$  [5].<sup>h</sup> (1) Calculations with the NDDO approximation for a  $\lambda$ -basis.<sup>i</sup> (2) Calculation without transforming to the  $\lambda$ -basis and invoking the NDDO simplification.

Table 2. Eigenvalues (a.u.)

CO	OF <sub>2</sub>		O <sub>3</sub>		ONF	
	Orbital	Simplified (1)	Orbital	Simplified (1)	Orbital	Simplified (1)
			Exact (2)	Exact (2)	Exact (1)	Exact (1)
1σ	-20.685	-20.699	-20.812	1b <sub>1</sub>	-26.340	-26.395
2σ	-11.457	-11.462	-11.444	1a <sub>1</sub>	-26.341	-26.395
3σ	-1.570	-1.534	-1.534	2a <sub>1</sub>	-20.654	-20.760
4σ	-0.980	-0.932	-0.760	3a <sub>1</sub>	-1.520	-1.638
1π	-0.556	-0.546	-0.612	2b <sub>1</sub>	-1.520	-1.527
5σ	-0.617	-0.616	-0.507	4a <sub>1</sub>	-1.272	-1.270
					-1.170	-1.170
					4a <sub>1</sub>	-1.260
					5a <sub>1</sub>	-0.653
					5a <sub>1</sub>	-0.608
					1b <sub>2</sub>	-0.545
					1b <sub>2</sub>	-0.632
					3b <sub>1</sub>	-0.535
					3b <sub>1</sub>	-0.631
					3b <sub>1</sub>	-0.702
					4b <sub>1</sub>	-0.536
					4b <sub>1</sub>	-0.558
					6a <sub>1</sub>	-0.498
					6a <sub>1</sub>	-0.518
					6a <sub>1</sub>	-0.466
					1a <sub>2</sub>	-0.484
					1a <sub>2</sub>	-0.381
					2b <sub>2</sub>	-0.477
					2b <sub>2</sub>	-0.423
						-0.374

Table 3. Values of  $\langle 1/r \rangle$  for each molecular orbital<sup>a</sup> (a.u.)

CO	OF <sub>2</sub>		O <sub>3</sub>		ONF	
	Orbital	Simplified (1)	Orbital	Simplified (1)	Orbital	Simplified (1)
			Exact (2)	Exact (2)	Exact (1)	Exact (1)
1σ	0.938	0.938	1b <sub>1</sub>	8.862	8.861	1a <sub>1</sub>
2σ	11.304	11.304	1a <sub>1</sub>	8.863	8.862	1b <sub>1</sub>
3σ	1.125	1.104	2a <sub>1</sub>	0.749	0.749	2a <sub>1</sub>
4σ	1.669	1.665	3a <sub>1</sub>	1.682	1.582	3a <sub>1</sub>
5σ	1.146	1.190	2b <sub>1</sub>	1.713	1.654	2b <sub>1</sub>
1π	1.077	1.077	4a <sub>1</sub>	0.790	0.794	1.075
					4a <sub>1</sub>	1.549
					5a <sub>1</sub>	0.875
					5a <sub>1</sub>	1.066
					1b <sub>2</sub>	1.250
					3b <sub>1</sub>	1.273
					4b <sub>1</sub>	1.365
					6a <sub>1</sub>	1.343
					1a <sub>2</sub>	1.222
					2b <sub>2</sub>	0.722
						1.063

<sup>a</sup> Origin is: C in CO, F in OF<sub>2</sub>; end O in O<sub>3</sub>, F in CNF.

Table 4. Values of  $\langle z \rangle$  for each molecular orbital<sup>a</sup> (a.u.)

CO		OF <sub>2</sub>		O <sub>3</sub>		ONF	
Orbital	Simplified	Exact	Orbital	Simplified	Exact	Orbital	Simplified
(1)	(2)		(1)	(2)		(1)	(1)
1σ	4.264	4.264	1b <sub>1</sub>	3.295	3.295	1a <sub>1</sub>	0.024
2σ	0.001	0.001	1a <sub>1</sub>	3.295	3.295	1b <sub>1</sub>	2.531
3σ	3.440	3.442	2a <sub>1</sub>	0.000	0.000	2a <sub>1</sub>	2.506
4σ	1.236	1.530	3a <sub>1</sub>	3.110	3.091	3a <sub>1</sub>	0.376
5σ	2.328	1.950	-0.629	2b <sub>1</sub>	3.244	3.081	2b <sub>1</sub>
1π	3.020	3.018	4a <sub>1</sub>	0.335	0.350	1.266	4a <sub>1</sub>
			1b <sub>2</sub>	3.295	3.295	1.948	5a <sub>1</sub>
			3b <sub>1</sub>	3.265	3.264	2.448	1b <sub>2</sub>
			5a <sub>1</sub>	3.306	3.298	2.172	3b <sub>1</sub>
			1a <sub>2</sub>	3.318	3.312	3.295	4b <sub>1</sub>
			4b <sub>1</sub>	1.728	1.696	3.013	6a <sub>1</sub>
			6a <sub>1</sub>	1.363	1.415	1.939	1a <sub>2</sub>
			2b <sub>2</sub>	0.027	0.028	1.344	

<sup>a</sup> Origins: C in CO, O in OF<sub>2</sub>, central O in O<sub>3</sub>, N in ONF.

Table 5. Values of  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  for each molecular orbital<sup>a</sup> (a.u.)

CO	OF <sub>2</sub>		O <sub>3</sub>		ONF				
	Orbital	Simplified	Exact	Orbital	Simplified	Exact	Orbital	Simplified	Exact
		(1)	(2)		(1)	(2)		(1)	(2)
1σ	9.200	9.200	9.191	1b <sub>1</sub>	14.342	14.342	1a <sub>1</sub>	0.216	0.104
2σ	0.191	0.192	0.191	1a <sub>1</sub>	14.342	14.342	1b <sub>1</sub>	11.773	11.770
3σ	8.785	8.804	8.304	2a <sub>1</sub>	0.104	0.104	2a <sub>1</sub>	11.661	11.769
4σ	8.096	8.184	13.762	3a <sub>1</sub>	15.779	15.661	3a <sub>1</sub>	3.087	5.697
5σ	12.023	11.640	7.423	2b <sub>1</sub>	16.094	16.152	2b <sub>1</sub>	13.594	10.851
1π	10.229	10.225	10.258	4a <sub>1</sub>	3.364	3.424	4a <sub>1</sub>	14.127	12.371
				1b <sub>2</sub>	16.471	16.468	10.891	5a <sub>1</sub>	5.632
				3b <sub>1</sub>	16.656	16.633	13.103	5a <sub>1</sub>	9.558
				5a <sub>1</sub>	16.534	16.513	13.033	1b <sub>2</sub>	9.094
				1a <sub>2</sub>	16.582	16.582	4b <sub>1</sub>	6.780	13.449
				4b <sub>1</sub>	10.281	10.113	15.984	4b <sub>1</sub>	14.795
				6a <sub>1</sub>	9.577	9.786	12.134	6a <sub>1</sub>	14.589
				2b <sub>2</sub>	3.122	3.126	8.703	1a <sub>2</sub>	14.740
								1a <sub>2</sub>	12.151
								1a <sub>2</sub>	12.769

<sup>a</sup> Origin is: C in CO, O in OF<sub>2</sub>, central O in O<sub>3</sub>, N in ONF

Table 6. Sums of  $\langle 1/r \rangle$ ,  $\langle z \rangle$  and  $\langle r^2 \rangle$  for orbitals of each symmetry type<sup>a</sup>

CO	OF <sub>2</sub>		O <sub>3</sub>		ONF	
	$\Sigma n\sigma$	$\Sigma 1\pi$	$\Sigma nb_1$	$\Sigma nb_2$	$\Sigma na$	$\Sigma nb$
		(1)	Exact	(1)	Exact	(1)
$\langle 1/r \rangle$	16.181	16.127	2.153	2.147	14.666	14.589
$\langle z \rangle$	11.269	10.742	6.041	6.081	11.408	11.117
$\langle r^2 \rangle$	38.29	38.87	20.46	20.52	59.70	60.62
O <sub>3</sub>	$\Sigma na_1$	$\Sigma nb_1$	$\Sigma nb_2$	$\Sigma na$	$\Sigma nb$	
	(1)	Exact	(1)	Exact	(1)	Exact
$\langle 1/r \rangle$	13.327	13.314	11.789	11.066	0.962	1.356
$\langle z \rangle$	7.985	8.162	8.202	8.857	1.357	0.883
$\langle r^2 \rangle$	49.31	52.39	46.94	50.89	9.09	6.92

<sup>a</sup> Origins as in Tables 3, 4 and 5.

symmetry type is small the effect of the Ruedenberg integral approximation on the off-diagonal  $F$  elements has a noticeable effect on the eigenvectors. Resultant total electronic expectation values are little affected however.

Since the Ruedenberg approximation becomes more accurate the more extensive the basis set used in (8), we expect the present simple SCF method to show an even more satisfactory performance in calculations using bases more extended than the present minimal basis sets. We hope to report on this in due course. But even for minimal basis sets the present simple procedure, much more economical of computer time than the corresponding exact calculation, gives results of very adequate accuracy and offers a more palatable path to STO calculations on larger molecules. The use of the Löwdin-orbital-based NDDO simplification also leads to considerable reduction in the magnitude of configuration-interaction calculations.

The authors are grateful to Dr. Petrongolo for providing copies of the eigenvectors for  $\text{F}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{ONF}$  [4], so enabling us to compute values of the various one-electron operators orbital by orbital.

### References

1. Jug, K.: *Theoret. chim. Acta (Berl.)* **14**, 91 (1969).
2. Ruedenberg, K.: *J. chem. Physics* **19**, 1433 (1951).
3. Ransil, B. J.: *Rev. mod. Physics* **32**, 245 (1960).
4. Petrongolo, C., Scrocco, E., Tomasi, E. T.: *J. chem. Physics* **48**, 407 (1968).
5. Neumann, D. B., Moskowitz, J. W.: *J. chem. Physics* **50**, 2216 (1969).

Professor Dr. R. D. Brown  
Department of Chemistry  
Monash University  
Wellington Road  
Clayton, Victoria, Australia 3168